

#### 2021 DIVERSITY MONITORING REPORT

- 1. The Bar Standards Board (**BSB**) requires chambers to publish a summary of a diversity data monitoring exercise carried out every three years (see rC110.3.q-t of the Code of Conduct contained in the BSB Handbook). This report is that summary for One Essex Court (**OEC**) in respect of the data monitoring exercise carried out in 2021.
- 2. Chambers' Diversity Data Officer (**DDO**) sent out questionnaires on 25 May 2021 to all of OEC's members, staff and pupils. Responses were provided by 17 June 2021 and the data and this report were collated in July 2021.
- 3. The overall response rate was 70%, although the response rate varied across different groups in Chambers. 28 of 46 Queen's Counsel (**QCs**) in Chambers, or 60%, responded to the questionnaire. 45 of 71 junior members or tenants, or 63%, responded to the questionnaire. This category includes retired judge arbitrators in Chambers, but will be referred to as 'junior members' in this report for ease of reference. 28 of 38 members of Chambers staff, or 73%, responded to the questionnaire.
- 4. This report sets out, both as numbers and percentages, the majority of the data collected as a result of this exercise. There are some exceptions, as follows:
  - (1) Chambers' Diversity Data Policy precludes publication about data on religion or belief and sexual orientation (this is related to rC110.3.s.i of the Code of Conduct, which makes it logistically difficult to publish data on these characteristics). No data is therefore published on those characteristics in this report. However, we can confirm that there is a diversity of religious beliefs and a diversity of sexual orientations within Chambers.
  - (2) Where there are fewer than 10 individuals in a given sub-category, OEC does not publish data in relation to that sub-category. This is in line with Chambers'

Diversity Data Policy and the best practice recommended by the BSB. This has led to the amalgamation of certain categories of data and the non-publication of other categories of data.

(3) As there are only four pupils in Chambers at the moment, we have not published data in relation to them.

#### Age

QCs

5. 15 respondents said that they were aged under 55. 12 were aged 55 or over. 1 QC preferred not to say. Thus, 33% of QCs in Chambers are aged under 55, 26% are aged 55 or over and we have no data on 40%.

#### Junior members

6. 26 respondents said that they were aged 35 or older. 19 were aged under 35. Thus, 37% of junior members are aged 35 or older, 26% are aged under 35, and we have no data on 37%.

## Staff

7. 27 staff who responded are aged under 55. Thus, 71% of staff are aged under 55, and we have no data on 27%. Less than 10 members of staff who responded are over 55, and therefore we have not published this data.

## Gender

QCs

8. As OEC has fewer than 10 female QCs, we do not publish data on the gender of QCs as derived from the diversity monitoring exercise. As can be seen from Chambers' website, however, OEC had five female QCs at the time the monitoring exercise was carried out.

#### Junior members

9. 13 respondents were female and 32 male. Thus, 18% of junior members are female,45% are male, and we have no data on 37%.

## Staff

10. 18 respondents were female and 10 male. Thus, 47% members of staff are female, 26% are male, and we have no data on 27%.

### **Ethnicity**

11. Fewer than 10 respondents were from an ethnic minority, and therefore we have not published this data.

#### **Disability**

12. No respondents (in any category) considered themselves to have a disability according to the definition in the Equality Act 2010. However, a small number of respondents did say that their day-to-day activities are limited because of a health problem or disability which has lasted, or is expected to last, at least 12 months. As this number is fewer than 10, we have not published data in relation to it.

### Socio-economic background

QCs

- 13. 10 respondents were part of the first generation of their families to go to university. 18 were not. Thus, 21% of QCs in Chambers were part of the first generation of their families to go to university, 39% were not, and we have no data on 40%.
- 14. 16 QC respondents, or 35% of QCs for whom we have data, attended UK independent/ fee-paying schools. The number of those who did not (variously, respondents who went to UK state schools, attended school outside the UK, or went to a different type of UK school such as a direct grant grammar) is fewer than 10 in each case, and we have therefore not published this data.

#### Junior members

- 15. Fewer than 10 respondents in this category were part of the first generation of their families to go to university. We have therefore not published data in this sub-category.
- 16. 12 respondents went to UK state schools; 22 went to UK independent/fee-paying schools; 11 went to school outside the UK. Thus, 17% of junior members went to state school, 31% went to UK independent/fee-paying schools, 15% went to school outside of the UK, and we have no data on 37%.

## Staff

17. As the number of staff respondents who attended UK independent/fee-paying schools is fewer than 10, we have not published this data. Similarly, there are fewer than 10 staff respondents in each category relating to university education (was part of the first generation of their families to go to university; was not; did not attend university), so we have not published that data.

## **Caring responsibilities**

## QCs

18. Fewer than 10 respondents in this category are primary carers for children under 18. We have therefore not published data in this sub-category.

#### Junior members

- 18. 12 respondents are primary carers for children under 18. 33 are not. Thus, 17% of junior members of Chambers are primary carers for children under 18, 46% are not, and we have no data on 37%.
- 19. As there are fewer than 10 members of Chambers who look after or give support to family or friends due to health or age related problems, we have not published this data.

# Staff

- 20. 11 respondents are primary carers for children under 18. 17 are not. Thus, 29% of staff are primary carers for children under 18, 44% are not, and we have no data on 27%.
- 21. As there are fewer than 10 members of staff who look after or give support to family or friends due to health or age related problems, we have not published this data.